

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/749,555	01/02/2004	Wolfgang Gunter Ruckmann	W1.2280US	8774
75	90 11/09/2006		EXAM	INER
Douglas R. Hanscom			HAUGLAND, SCOTT J	
Jones, Tullar & Cooper, P.C. Eads Station			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 2266			3654 ·	
Arlington, VA	22202		DATE MAILED: 11/09/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/749,555	RUCKMANN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Scott Haugland	3654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Au	igust 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.5 and 6 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.5 and 6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The language of claim 1, lines 24-25 stating that the finite length guide portion is parallel to the roller appears to be inaccurate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Whitten (U.S. Pat. No. 5,605,267) in view of Avellanet et al (U.S. Pat. No. 4,376,589).

Whitten discloses a device for drawing in leading ends of paper webs in a web-fed printing press comprising: a roller 3, the roller having a paper web engaging outer surface and being adapted to engage paper webs as the paper webs are guided along a path of web travel in the web-fed printing press, paper web traction means 30 shiftable with respect to the roller and the path of web travel, a finite length guide (portions of 31, 32, 33) for the traction means, the finite length guide being shiftable toward and away from the path of web travel, a shiftable guide support (portions of 31, 32, 33) for the finite length guide, and guide support rods 35, 36 including telescoping supports spaced along the guide support and supporting the guide support.

Whitten does not disclose that the paper web traction means has a plurality of spaced paper web engaging projections and does not disclose an annular passage in the roller outer, paper web engaging surface for receipt of the plurality of spaced paper web engaging projections.

Avellanet et al teaches providing paper web traction means 32 of a web feeding apparatus with web engaging projections 34 and teaches providing a web guiding roller 44 that cooperates with the traction means to feed the web with an annular passage 48 for receiving the projections 34.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the web traction means of Whitten with projections and to provide the roller 3 of Whitten with an annular passage to receive the projections taught by Avellanet et al to increase the traction between the web traction means and the web.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments filed 8/28/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that roller 31 in Fischer is not supported for movement with respect to the roller 30, but that the traction means 31 stays fixed while the cooperating roller 30 moves. However, Fischer discloses a relatively movable roller 30 and traction means 31. The previous claims did not require the traction means to be shiftable with respect to the path of web travel. Whitten discloses web traction means that is shiftable with respect to both a roller 3 and a path of travel of web 2.

Applicants argue that Fischer does not disclose an annular passage in roller 30. However, Avellanet et al teaches providing a web guiding backup roller with an annular passage for receiving projections of cooperating web traction means.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. The new ground of rejection was necessitated by the addition to claim 1 of the limitations requiring the web traction means to be shiftable with respect to the path of web travel and to requiring the roller to have an annular passage for receipt of the paper web engaging projections of the web traction means. Accordingly, **THIS**ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Haugland whose telephone number is (571) 272-6945. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathy Matecki can be reached on (571) 272-6951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,555

Art Unit: 3654

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

WILLIAM A. RIVERA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Willia R. Cineral